WVC Curriculum Committee

Agenda

4/20/11 Tech Center E

* Remaining repeatability proposals and below FYI information Brian patricia done
* Remaining honors courses

ART 1A H ok , 1BH ok, 1DH ok , 4H ok pat/ paulette done

ASTRO 1H, 2H patricia /brian done

BIO 11H, 21Hdeactivated patricia, Cheryl done

COMM 20H remove from catalog not revised

ENGL 1CH, 6AH, 6BH, 46H brian leslie done

GEOG 2H not ready remove from catalog

GEOL 1AH Betsey, leslie done

HISTORY 4AH, 4BH, 17BH

HUMAN 1AH, 1BH,

MATH 8H

MUSIC 1H, 2H

PETH 51H patricia, paulette done

PHIL 4H

POLI SCI 1H, 3H Betsey brian done

PSYCH 2H first reading

SOC 2H

THEATRE 10H, 15H

Other honors have hard outlines and will remain in catalog but must be approved by the end of the semester.

* Tech review reactivation of LS 110 patricia paulette done with chngs to hba and remove training statement
* Soc AAT degree changes—back to original proposal without PAULETTE/ BETSEY DONE

Other notes

* Update PE Adaptive PE Course Revisions and 2 new athletic courses—reviewed 4/6
* Other CA courses not reviewed
* Honors Art 1B, 1BH see 1BH above
* Future Items
* Curriculum Approval Process—timeline and other possible process changes
* Fast Track Timelines
* Next meeting 4/27/11

**FYI**

For those of you who have been following the debate on the repeatability of courses, I wanted to share news about this issue.  There is a push from outside our system to remove and/or greatly reduce the ability for our students to repeat courses for which we recieve apportionment.  Last night, Consultation Council (the shared governance entity that advises the Board of Governors) was sent language that they will be discussing **this coming Thursday** that would, if adopted and placed into Title 5, limit repeatability of courses to **three total enrollments for apportionment**.  This would include re-enrollments of students who withdraw after census date, as well as students who failed to pass a course and want to alleviate a substandard grade, and any other repeats.

The language can be found on the Chancellor's Office website:

<http://www.cccco.edu/ChancellorsOffice/ConsultationCouncil/tabid/522/Default.aspx>

Numerous resolutions at this Plenary Session make various recommendations that the Academic Senate advocate a position on the number of repeats of courses, particularly in visual and performing arts and physical education. A great deal of information has been shared at this plenary about the pros and cons of the various resolutions. Most faculty in our district with whom I have talked have urged me to vote against these resolutions.  However, it is important to understand that if the ASCCC does not have clear language adopted stating a position, our faculty representatives at the state level cannot advocate effectively on our behalf.

Most of these resolutions recommend one or another change(s) to Title 5.  Title 5 is the regulatory language that governs our system.  There is a great fear that if we do not implement some sort of change on repeatability in TItle 5, then legislative changes will be forces upon us which will change Ed Code.  It is much, much, **MUCH** harder to change legislative changes than it would be to come back later and change Title 5.

At this point, voting is only beginning.  I have no idea which resolutions and amendments will pass, but before the free-for-all of the elections actually begins in a couple of minutes, I wanted to take the time to explain some of the background information that has only surfaced.

Cathy Cox

**President, Mission College Academic Senate**